1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION
4	
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
6	Plaintiff,
7	v. CASE NO: 1:08-CR-274
8	EMOND DUREA LOGAN,
9	Defendant.
10	/
11	* * * *
12	CHANGE OF PLEA HEARING
13	* * * *
14	
15	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE PAUL L. MALONEY, CHIEF JUDGE
16	United States District Judge Kalamazoo, Michigan
17	September 13, 2010
18	APPEARANCES:
19	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
20	BRIAN P. LENNON Assistant United States Attorney
21	P.O. Box 208 Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501-0208
22	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:
23	SCOTT GRAHAM
24	2911 West Centre Avenue, Suite C Portage, Michigan 49024
25	

1	Kalamazoo, Michigan
2	September 13, 2010
3	at approximately 10:06 a.m.
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	THE COURT: This 08-274; The United States of America
6	vs. Emond Logan. This matter is before the Court for a
7	change of plea proceeding.
8	The record should reflect that Assistant United
9	States Attorney Brian Lennon is here on behalf of the
10	government. Attorney Scott Graham, who was
11	court-appointed by the Court pursuant to a previous order,
12	is present in person representing Mr. Logan. Mr. Logan is
13	present in person.
14	Counsel, this is a change of plea proceeding pursuant
15	to a plea agreement, is that correct, Mr. Lennon?
16	MR. LENNON: Yes, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: The Court has in its possession Docket
18	Number 334, which is a plea agreement containing the
19	signatures of the defendant; Mr. Leo James Terrell,
20	co-counsel for the defendant; as well as Attorney Scott
21	Graham. Mr. Graham's signature is dated September 10th;
22	Mr. Logan's signature is dated August 27th; and
23	Mr. Terrell's signature is dated August 21st.
24	By previous order of the Court, the Court appointed
25	Attorney Scott Graham in an abundance of caution to make

1 sure that entry into this plea agreement was in the best 2 interests of the defendant from the perspective of the 3 defendant, Mr. Terrell having a, what the Court determined 4 to be a conflict of interest in his representation of 5 others. I also appointed counsel to represent those 6 defendants. 7 Mr. Graham, have you had ample opportunity, sir, of 8 reviewing the circumstances of this case with your client, 9 Mr. Logan, and are you satisfied concerning the plea 10 agreement? 11 MR. GRAHAM: Your Honor, I have had time to confer 12 with Mr. Logan in order to determine the appropriateness 13 of the plea agreement from his perspective. Perhaps more 14 important, I've had time to evaluate evidence that the 15 government would use against him independently from what 16 he has told me. So I've been able to actually take a look 17 at what I think the government would produce in the way of 18 proof, if it went to trial against Mr. Logan. And based 19 upon all of those things, I believe that the plea 20 agreement that was previously signed by Mr. Logan and 21 Mr. Terrell is appropriate, with only one exception that 22 doesn't impact the substantive nature, and that is the 23 conflict-- the conflict waiver. I think that I've been 24 able to do enough work so that I understand that Mr. Logan 25 will waive any claim of conflict as it relates to

1 Mr. Terrell, but it is my opinion that his plea before

4

- 2 this Court is not tainted in any way by a conflict in
- 3 terms of the analysis of the plea. So there is a written
- 4 provision there, rather than change that, we just thought
- 5 we would put something on the record on that.
- 6 THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel, I appreciate that.
- 7 Mr. Logan, you've had ample opportunity, sir, of
- 8 reviewing your case with Mr. Graham, is that correct, sir?
- 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: And are you satisfied with his work and
- representation of you?
- 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
- 13 THE COURT: Do you understand everything that
- 14 Mr. Graham just told me?
- 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 16 THE COURT: Do you agree with it?
- 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: All right, sir.
- Mr. Lennon, anything in the nature of a preliminary
- statement from you?
- 21 MR. LENNON: No, your Honor.
- And we would concur with Mr. Graham's analysis. And
- to go further on that, your Honor, we believe that with
- respect to the Court's ruling in appointing Mr. Graham,
- and now if this plea indeed goes forward, that really the

1 conflict no longer becomes even an issue at all, because

5

- 2 as independent counsel has looked at it, and if indeed
- 3 Mr. Logan is willing to go through with the plea, we think
- 4 it's really for the most part, a moot issue at that
- 5 point.
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 8 Well, that of course was my concern at the last
- 9 hearing. I did believe that Mr.-- and still do-- that
- Mr. Logan needed independent advice, and that's why I
- appointed Mr. Graham, and I'm satisfied that that has been
- 12 accomplished.
- All right. Mr. Logan, the plea agreement calls for
- 14 you to plead guilty to Count One of the Second Superceding
- 15 Indictment, is that what you wish to do, sir?
- 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 17 THE COURT: All right, sir. In order to take a plea
- of guilty from you, I must place you under oath. Would
- 19 you raise your right hand.
- THE DEFENDANT: Do you want me to stand up?
- 21 THE COURT: If you wish, sir.
- 22 EMOND DUREA LOGAN DEFENDANT SWORN
- 23 THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
- You may be seated.
- 25 How old are you, Mr. Logan?

- 1 THE DEFENDANT: Forty-nine.
- THE COURT: And how far did you go in school?
- THE DEFENDANT: Twelfth grade.
- 4 THE COURT: So you are able to read and write the
- 5 English language?
- 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 7 THE COURT: Have you taken any prescription
- 8 medication or any other substance which might affect your
- 9 ability to understand what is occurring here today?
- 10 THE DEFENDANT: No.
- 11 THE COURT: Do you understand what I'm saying to you?
- 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 13 THE COURT: If at any time I say something you don't
- understand, I want you to ask me questions. Do you
- understand?
- 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 17 THE COURT: Have you had ample opportunity of
- reviewing your case and the wisdom of this plea agreement
- from your perspective with Mr. Graham?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 21 THE COURT: And are you satisfied with his work and
- representation of you?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 24 THE COURT: You understand, sir, that you have the
- absolute right to continue your plea of not guilty and

1	proceed to trial on these matters. Do you understand
2	that?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
4	THE COURT: Throughout those proceedings, you would
5	have the absolute right to be represented by counsel at
6	the at all proceedings in this matter. Do you
7	understand that?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
9	THE COURT: All right, sir.
10	Mr. Lennon, would you review the highlights of the
11	plea agreement, sir.
12	MR. LENNON: Yes, your Honor.
13	Your Honor, pursuant to the plea agreement, in
14	Paragraph 1, Mr. Logan agrees to plead guilty to Count One
15	of the Second Superceding Indictment.
16	Paragraph 2 simply sets forth the elements of the
17	crime.
18	Paragraph 3, your Honor, sets forth the penalties.
19	Paragraph 4 contains some stipulations, which if the
20	Court would let me just highlight.
21	In Paragraph 1, the parties are stipulating that
22	between on or about an unknown date in 2004 and continuing

KATHLEEN S. THOMAS, U.S. District Court Reporter 410 West Michigan Avenue, Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007 (269)385-3050

charge, that the defendant was the courier for Alvin Keith

Jackson, who is the defendant's wife's brother. As the

through in or about January, 2007, as alleged in the

23

24

1	courier for Mr. Jackson, and at Mr. Jackson's direction,
2	the defendant, Mr. Logan, transported loads of cocaine
3	from Los Angeles, California area to the Detroit, Michigan
4	area, using a tractor trailer. The defendant also
5	transported loads of drug proceeds in the form of U.S.
6	currency from Michigan to California at Mr. Jackson's
7	direction, and via the interstate highways, including
8	Interstate 94 in both the Western and Eastern Districts of
9	Michigan.
10	Defendant acknowledges that at trial, the government
11	would be able to prove that some of this cocaine he
12	delivered for Alvin Jackson was later distributed in and
13	around the Lansing, Michigan area, by AHH DEE AHH, a
14	Detroit-based drug trafficking organization operating in
15	the Lansing, Michigan area.
16	Further, there was a mutual understanding between
17	Alvin Keith Jackson between the defendant, Mr. Jackson
18	and others, to financially benefit from Alvin Keith
19	Jackson's cocaine trafficking between California and
20	Michigan.
21	The parties also agree that over the course of this
22	conspiracy the defendant transported over 150 kilograms of
23	cocaine for and at the direction of Mr. Jackson.
24	Finally, the parties stipulate that it was further
25	part of the conspiracy that the defendant used some

1	portion of his drug proceeds to pay for various motor
2	vehicles, including a tractor trailer, the mortgage for
3	his residence located at 19058 Springbrook Court,
4	Riverside, California, and items of personal property,
5	credit card bills, and other living expenses from sometime
6	in 2004 through in or about August, 2009.
7	Now, the promises that Mr. Logan is making in
8	consideration of this plea agreement are as follows:
9	First, to fully cooperate with law enforcement,
10	that's ATF, my office, the Lansing Police, any other law
11	enforcement agencies, not only about the charges in this
12	that are set forth in this Indictment, but any other
13	charges or violations of the law that we have apparent or
14	general jurisdiction over. The defendant will agree to
15	submit to polygraph examinations upon request as part of
16	his obligation to cooperate.
17	Paragraph B talks about his waiver of any conflict of
18	interest challenge, which again, the government's position
19	is if this plea goes forward with Mr. Graham today, is
20	probably moot.
21	Paragraph C says that the defendant is going to waive
22	some of his appellate rights. Essentially he waives the
23	right to challenge any sentence, or the way it came about,
24	that is within the guideline range. He also retains he
25	has the opportunity to appeal any sentence that is above

1	the guideline range or any objections that he's preserved
2	at sentencing. And of course, there's some other ones in
3	there about preserving the right for a sentence above the
4	maximum, but the maximum is life in this case, and also
5	anything that would any sentence that would be based on
6	some kind of improper or illegal criteria, like age, race,
7	religion, those kind of things.
8	This is an important paragraph here for the
9	government. That is, Mr. Logan is agreeing to consent to
10	the forfeiture of all the property listed in the Second
11	Superceding Indictment.
12	Now, at this point, we only believe he has really
13	rights to certain properties in here, your Honor,
14	specifically in the accounts W through AAA, the vehicles
15	HHH through OOO. As far as real property, the very last
16	one is the home on Springbrook, and that is CC. And then
17	with respect to personal property, there are some items of
18	personal property listed just generally discussed in
19	Paragraph 4 4A.
20	Now, just for the record, your Honor, there was some
21	other property taken from Mr. Logan's house during the
22	execution of search warrants in September, and that's
23	covered in here as well, but not listed in the Indictment,
24	some flat screen TVs, a Laker's lithograph, but Mr. Logan
25	is willing to give up his rights to all of that property

1	in consideration of the other promises made in this plea
2	agreement.
3	Your Honor, the promises made by my office are
4	contained in Paragraph 6. Obviously at sentencing to
5	dismiss Count Three, which is the money laundering
6	conspiracy.
7	In 6B is to not bring additional charges against
8	Mr. Logan, provided he has told us about those
9	violations. Of course, that standardly does not include
10	crimes of violence or any criminal tax violations.
11	Paragraph 6C, we are not going to oppose his request
12	for acceptance of responsibility. And in light of the
13	fact that Mr. Logan is pleading guilty after the Court's
14	order, if the Court determines that because of the counsel
15	situation it was indeed a timely plea, we certainly would
16	have no objection to that, your Honor.
17	Paragraph 6D says we are not it's essentially a
18	retelling of 1B1.8. We are not going to use the
19	information Mr. Logan told us about either in his proffer
20	or pursuant to this cooperation agreement to enhance his
21	sentence.
22	And Paragraph 6E is potentially a very important one
23	to Mr. Logan, that is that we will certainly evaluate the
24	information he gives us and decide whether any sentence
25	reduction or downward departure motions are appropriate

1 The defendant acknowledges it's not a promise to make such 2 a motion and he can't appeal the Court's decision to deny 3 any such motion, but we'll certainly evaluate the 4 information he's provided. 5 Paragraph 7, your Honor, is just the consequences of 6 breach. 7 Paragraph 8 mentions the guidelines are advisory, not 8 mandatory. 9 Paragraph 9 simply says the Court is not a party to 10 this agreement, is not obligated to follow any of the 11 recommendations or stipulations of the parties. 12 We had a deadline for acceptance, your Honor, and 13 that was August 26, but we had agreed with Mr. Terrell to 14 move that because of logistical reasons. He wasn't able 15 to even get here until the 27th, and sit with Mr. Logan. 16 And then, your Honor, this is-- Finally, this is the 17 complete agreement. I don't think-- there are no other 18 parts of the agreement other than I think the kind of 19 clarifications that counsel made with respect to the issue 20 of the conflict of interests, but there is no other 21 promises other than those contained in this plea 22 agreement. 23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 24 For purposes of the record, the Court will state that 25 under the circumstances here, I think third level of

- 1 acceptance will be granted, so that's-- to the extent that
- 2 might be of concern, I recognize the dynamics of the
- 3 situation, so I think third level is appropriate in this
- 4 instance.
- 5 Mr. Graham, is that the plea agreement, sir?
- 6 MR. GRAHAM: It is, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 8 Mr. Logan, is that your understanding of the plea
- 9 agreement, sir?
- 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 11 THE COURT: Do you have any questions about it?
- 12 THE DEFENDANT: No.
- THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court is not a
- party to the agreement?
- 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
- THE COURT: At this time, I have no idea what your
- sentence might be, other than it can be no more than
- life. Do you understand that?
- 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- THE COURT: And you understand that the maximum-- the
- 21 mandatory minimum is ten years in prison?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- THE COURT: Do you understand that?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. One other provision-- couple

1	of other provisions that I want to go over with you,
2	Mr. Logan, is your waiver of direct appeal and your right
3	to file a petition pursuant to Section 2255.
4	First, do you understand that you are under the
5	plea agreement, you're waiving your right to appeal any
6	sentence that is at or below the maximum advisory
7	guideline range as determined by the Court at sentencing.
8	Do you understand that?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Now, this does not give up your right to
11	challenge the scoring of the guidelines, you have a right
12	to do that. But the essence of the plea agreement is that
13	if the guidelines have been scored correctly, and I
14	sentence you within the guidelines, you give up your right
15	to appeal. Do you understand that?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17	THE COURT: You retain your right to appeal a
18	sentence above the maximum of the advisory guideline
19	range, and also to appeal any objections that you have
20	that the Court incorrectly scored the guidelines. Do you
21	understand?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Obviously it's not within my power to
24	give you a sentence greater than life, but do you
25	understand that the maximum for the offense is life, and

1	that therefore, to the extent that there is an agreement
2	here that you can appeal a sentence that exceeds the
3	statutory maximum, that really doesn't apply here?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: Now, you are also giving up your right to
6	challenge the sentence by way of collateral attack
7	pursuant to 28 U.S. Code 2255. Do you understand that?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
9	THE COURT: And while the Court believes and both
10	counsel believe that any difficulty or taint from the fact
11	that Mr. Terrell was representing you and several
12	co-defendants has been dissipated, you are clearly, under
13	the plea agreement, giving up your right to a claim of
14	ineffective assistance of counsel by Mr. Terrell. Do you
15	understand that?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: Now, the Court is going to be required to
18	calculate the advisory sentencing guideline range in this
19	case. Do you understand that?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: The guidelines are advisory to the
22	Court. That means I don't necessarily have to follow
23	them. I can go above them, I can go below them, I can
24	stay within the range. It's the starting point for the
25	Court, and it's just that, advisory. Do you understand?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: Okay. All right. And you heard
3	Mr. Lennon's statement regarding the forfeiture provisions
4	of the plea agreement. Do you have any questions about
5	those?
6	THE DEFENDANT: No.
7	THE COURT: Do you agree to them?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
9	THE COURT: All right, sir. In Count One of the
10	Second Superceding Indictment, it's alleged that beginning
11	on or about an unknown date in the mid-1990s, and
12	continuing through on or about an unknown date in 2009, in
13	the Southern Division of the Western District of Michigan
14	and elsewhere, you and others named in the Indictment did
15	combine, conspire, confederate, and agree together with
16	Alvin Keith Jackson, Donnie Charles, Lindell Brown,
17	Felicia Blake, Tamara Hughes, Kevin Emerson, also known as
18	Kenneth Coleman, and other persons both known and unknown
19	to the grand jury, to knowingly, intentionally, and
20	unlawfully distribute and possess with intent to
21	distribute five kilograms or more of a mixture or
22	substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a
23	Schedule II controlled substance. Do you understand that
24	charge?

KATHLEEN S. THOMAS, U.S. District Court Reporter 410 West Michigan Avenue, Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007 (269)385-3050

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

- 1 THE COURT: The maximum term of imprisonment for this
- 2 offense is life in prison. Do you understand?
- 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 4 THE COURT: There is a mandatory minimum provision of
- 5 ten years in prison. Do you understand?
- 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 7 THE COURT: The maximum fine is \$4 million. Do you
- 8 understand?
- 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- THE COURT: The Court can place you on supervised
- release for-- or must place you on supervised release for
- at least five years. Do you understand that?
- 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: The special assessment of \$100 will be
- ordered in this case, upon your sentencing. Do you
- 16 understand?
- 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: The Court may order any restitution, if
- there is any. The forfeiture provisions also apply, and
- we have already explained those during the course of this
- 21 proceeding. Do you understand that?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 23 THE COURT: All right, sir. How do you wish to plead
- to Count One of the Second Superceding Indictment?
- 25 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

1	THE COURT: Do you understand, sir, that by pleading
2	guilty, you'll be giving up your right to a trial? There
3	will be no trial of any kind. Do you understand that?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: You have the right to a jury trial of
6	twelve persons or the right to be tried by a judge alone
7	without a jury. These rights you give up if you plead
8	guilty, do you understand?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10	THE COURT: You would be giving up your right to be
11	presumed innocent of this offense until proven guilty, and
12	the right to have the government prove beyond a reasonable
13	doubt that you are guilty. These rights you also give up
14	if you plead guilty, do you understand?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16	THE COURT: You would be giving up your right to
17	cross examine the witnesses that the government calls in
18	support of their case, through your lawyer. That is your
19	right of confrontation under the Constitution. This right
20	you also give up if you plead guilty, do you understand?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: You would be giving up the right to call
23	witnesses at the trial if you wanted to, to compel the
24	attendance of those witnesses in court through the
25	subpoena power of the Court, and to present any other

- 1 evidence you have for your defense. These rights you also
- 2 give up if you plead guilty, do you understand?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 4 THE COURT: You would have the absolute right to
- 5 testify at the trial if you wanted to. On the other hand,
- 6 you have the absolute right to remain silent, and that
- 7 silence cannot be used against you in any way. Do you
- 8 understand that?
- 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- THE COURT: The government could not call you as a
- witness at the trial, that's your right against compelled
- self incrimination. Do you understand that?
- 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: Do you understand, sir, that if you plead
- guilty and I accept your plea, you'll be forever giving up
- 16 your right to a trial and all the other rights that we
- have talked about?
- 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 19 THE COURT: If I accept your guilty plea, this will
- result in a conviction of the offense, and it will be my
- obligation and duty to sentence you on that crime. Do you
- 22 understand?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- THE COURT: Has anybody threatened or coerced you
- into pleading guilty?

- 1 THE DEFENDANT: No.
- 2 THE COURT: Have there been any promises of leniency

- 3 or benefit, others than those contained in the plea
- 4 agreement?
- 5 THE DEFENDANT: No.
- 6 THE COURT: Any predictions of what the sentence
- 7 might be if you plead guilty?
- 8 THE DEFENDANT: No.
- 9 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty freely and
- voluntarily because are you, in fact, guilty of the
- 11 offense?
- 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 13 THE COURT: All right, sir. Tell me what you did
- that leads you to believe that you are guilty of this
- offense, but I-- and I don't want to put words in your
- mouth, but I assume this happened between the mid-1990s
- 17 continuing through on or about an unknown date in 2009,
- and that the crime occurred in the Southern Division of
- the Western District of Michigan; is that correct?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 21 THE COURT: And did you agree with Mr. Alvin Keith
- Jackson and others to deliver cocaine from the State of
- 23 California into the State of Michigan?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 25 THE COURT: And did you know that at least part of

- 1 those drugs were going to be distributed by this drug
- 2 organization or gang organization, the AHH DEE AHH
- 3 organization?
- 4 THE DEFENDANT: I didn't know per se, but I knew they
- 5 were going to be distributed.
- 6 THE COURT: And you knew that distribution was going
- 7 to occur in the Western District and the Eastern District
- 8 of Michigan?
- 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: Who instructed you to deliver the drugs
- 11 to Michigan from California?
- 12 THE DEFENDANT: Alvin Keith Jackson.
- 13 THE COURT: And was a statement in the statement of
- facts that indicates that the total amount of drugs here
- was approximately 150 kilograms of cocaine; is that
- 16 correct?
- 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: I take it that not only did you transport
- the drugs from California to Michigan, but you collected
- 20 money here in the State of Michigan, and transported the
- 21 money from the State of Michigan back to California?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 23 THE COURT: At whose instructions did you deliver the
- 24 money?
- THE DEFENDANT: Alvin Keith Jackson.

- 1 THE COURT: And did you deliver all of the money to
- 2 him?
- 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 4 THE COURT: No question that you knew that this was
- 5 cocaine you were delivering, correct?
- 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 7 THE COURT: And no doubt you knew also that the money
- 8 that you were taking from Michigan and California was
- 9 proceeds from drug sales; is that correct?
- 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 11 THE COURT: And you knew obviously that this was
- illegal, correct?
- 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: And you did it anyway?
- 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- THE COURT: Mr. Lennon, any additional facts?
- MR. LENNON: Not on the substantive charges, just on
- the forfeiture, if I may, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- Mr. Lennon has outlined in his previous statement all
- of the property that you've agreed to forfeit pursuant to
- the plea agreement. Were those items, whether they be
- real or personal property, purchased with drug proceeds?
- 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 25 THE COURT: Satisfied?

- 1 MR. LENNON: I am, your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 3 Mr. Graham, satisfied with the facts?
- 4 MR. GRAHAM: Yes, your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: The Court is also so satisfied. I find
- 6 the plea to be freely and voluntarily made without any
- 7 undue influence, promises of leniency or benefit, except
- 8 as stated in the plea agreement.
- 9 The Court finds no evidence of coercion.
- The facts as contained in Count One of the Second
- 11 Superseding Indictment is factually accurate. The
- defendant is actually guilty of the offense contained in
- 13 Count One and stands convicted thereof.
- 14 The Court will take the plea agreement under
- advisement pending sentencing.
- 16 A presentence report is ordered.
- 17 The defendant is remanded to the custody of the
- marshal to await sentencing.
- 19 Anything further?
- MR. LENNON: Well, your Honor, there are some pending
- 21 motions as to Mr. Logan-- Emond Logan, I assume those
- 22 motions are all--
- THE COURT: Withdrawn.
- MR. LENNON: --withdrawn?
- THE COURT: Mr. Graham?

- 1 MR. GRAHAM: Yes, your Honor. 2 MR. LENNON: That's all. Thank you, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Graham, anything further? Go ahead. 4 MR. GRAHAM: From my perspective, your Honor, I guess 5 I just want to make sure that I have the Court's guidance 6 regarding my assignment in this case. I'm willing to stop 7 now, I'm willing to continue. I'm willing to do whatever 8 you want me to do. But I guess I feel in light of the 9 nature of the appointment that maybe I need some further 10 guidance from the Court, and I'm not sure if inquiry of 11 Mr. Logan is relevant. I defer to the Court on that. 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 13 My preference, Mr. Graham, is for you to remain in 14 the case until sentencing is concluded. 15 Mr. Logan, do you object to that in any way? 16 THE DEFENDANT: No, I concur. 17 THE COURT: Okay. So consider yourself continued. 18 MR. GRAHAM: All right. Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Defendant is remanded to the custody of the marshal to await sentencing. 20 21 MR. LENNON: Thank you, your Honor. 22 COURT CLERK: All rise, please. 23 Court is in recess. 24 (At 10:33 a.m., proceedings were concluded.)
 - KATHLEEN S. THOMAS, U.S. District Court Reporter 410 West Michigan Avenue, Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007 (269)385-3050

1	
2	
3	
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	
6	
7	I, Kathleen S. Thomas, Official Court Reporter for
8	the United States District Court for the Western District
9	of Michigan, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title
10	28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify
11	that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of
12	proceedings had in the within-entitled and numbered cause
13	on the date hereinbefore set forth; and I do further
14	certify that the foregoing transcript has been prepared by
15	me or under my direction.
16	
17	
18	/s/
19	Kathleen S. Thomas, CSR-1300, RPR U.S. District Court Reporter
20	410 West Michigan Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007
21	Kalamazoo, Michigan 47007
22	
23	
24	
25	